UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

STEVEN GILLMAN, as Personal Representative for the ESTATE OF MEGAN ANN MILLER, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 21-12762

-VS-

HON. MARK A. GOLDSMITH

CITY OF TROY and JULIE GREEN-HERNANDEZ,

Defendants.

AYANNA D. HATCHETT (70055) LORI GRIGG BLUHM (P46908)

MADELINE SINKOVICH (P82846) City Attorney

VEN R. JOHNSON (P39219) JULIE QUINLAN DUFRAINE (P59000)

JOHNSON LAW, PLC Asst. City of Attorney

535 Griswold Street, Suite 2600 Attorneys for City of Troy Detroit, MI 48226 500 W. Big Beaver Road

(313) 324-8300 Troy, MI 48083

<u>ahatchett@venjohnsonlaw.com</u> 248-524-3320 msinkovich@venjohnsonlaw.com

MARGARET T. DEBLER (P43905) HOLLY S. BATTERSBY (P72023)

MICHAEL T. BERGER (P77143)

Attorneys for Defendant Hernandez

27555 Executive Drive, #250 Farmington Hills, MI 48331

(313) 489-4100

mdebler@rsjalaw.com hbattersby@rsjalaw.com mberger@rsjalaw.com

DEFENDANT GREEN-HERNANDEZ'S MOTION TO COMPEL PLAINTIFF TO RESPOND TO HER DISCOVERY REQUESTS

NOW COMES Defendant, Julie Green-Hernandez, by and through her

attorneys, ROSATI SCHULTZ JOPPICH & AMTSBUECHLER PC and for her

motion to compel Plaintiff to respond to her discovery requests relies on the

attached brief. The undersigned certifies that he made reasonable efforts to seek

concurrence with Plaintiff's counsel by sending Plaintiff's counsel emails on June

13, 2022 and June 15, 2022 regarding the outstanding discovery requests. When

that did not work, Green-Hernandez's counsel called Plaintiff's counsel and left a

message regarding the outstanding discovery responses but received no response.

More than three business days have lapsed without opposing counsel expressly

agreeing to the relief sought, whether orally or in writing. See Concurrence

requirements ECF 4, PageID.24.

Respectfully submitted,

ROSATI SCHULTZ JOPPICH & AMTSBUECHLER PC

s/ Michael T. Berger

Attorney for Defendant Hernandez 27555 Executive Drive, Ste. 250 Farmington Hills, MI 48331

(248) 489-4100

mberger@rsjalaw.com

(P77143)

1

DATED: June 27, 2022

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN **SOUTHERN DIVISION**

STEVEN GILLMAN, as Personal Representative for the ESTATE OF MEGAN ANN MILLER, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 21-12762

HON. MARK A. GOLDSMITH

-VS-

CITY OF TROY and JULIE GREEN-HERNANDEZ,

Defendants.

AYANNA D. HATCHETT (70055) LORI GRIGG BLUHM (P46908)

MADELINE SINKOVICH (P82846) City Attorney

VEN R. JOHNSON (P39219)

JOHNSON LAW, PLC

535 Griswold Street, Suite 2600

Detroit, MI 48226

(313) 324-8300

ahatchett@venjohnsonlaw.com

msinkovich@venjohnsonlaw.com

JULIE QUINLAN DUFRAINE (P59000)

Asst. City of Attorney Attorneys for City of Troy

500 W. Big Beaver Road

Troy, MI 48083

248-524-3320

MARGARET T. DEBLER (P43905) HOLLY S. BATTERSBY (P72023) MICHAEL T. BERGER (P77143) Attorneys for Defendant Hernandez

27555 Executive Drive, #250 Farmington Hills, MI 48331

(313) 489-4100

mdebler@rsjalaw.com hbattersby@rsjalaw.com mberger@rsjalaw.com

DEFENDANT GREEN-HERNANDEZ'S MOTION TO COMPEL PLAINTIFF TO RESPOND TO HER DISCOVERY REQUESTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Index of Authorities	ii
Most Controlling Authority	iii
Issue Presented	iv
Introduction	1
Statement of Facts	1
Law and Argument	2
Conclusion	3

INDEX OF AUTHORITIES

Mayes v. City of Oak Park, No. CIVA 05-CV-74386-DT, 2007 WL 328929, at *2 (E.D. Mich. Jan. 30, 2007)	2
Court Rules	
Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(a)(1)	2
Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(b)(2)	
Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(a)(1)	2
Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(b)(2)(A)	
Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(b)(4)	
Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(1)	2
Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(3)(iii)-(iv)	2

Case

MOST CONTROLLING AUTHORITY

Fed. R. Civ. P. 33

Fed. R. Civ. P. 34

Fed. R. Civ. P. 37

ISSUE PRESENTED

I. Whether The Estate of Megan Miller should be compelled to respond to Defendant Julie Green-Hernandez's interrogatories and requests to produce within seven (7) days?

Green-Hernandez states "Yes"

The City of Troy presumably states "Yes"

The Estate presumably states "No"

INTRODUCTION

Defendant Julie Green-Hernandez served Plaintiff with interrogatories and requests to produce. To date, Plaintiff has not responded to those. Green-Hernandez requests that this Court compel Plaintiff to respond to those discovery requests within seven days and order that any objection to those requests be deemed waived.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Plaintiff, the Estate of Megan Miller, died while in custody at the City of Troy after her arrest in relation to her daughter's death. (Complaint, ECF 1, PageID. 2). The Estate brought this action against Green-Hernandez under 42 USC § 1983 action and gross negligence action against Green-Hernandez, as well as a § 1983 claim against City of Troy, following Miller's in-custody death. (Complaint, ECF 1).

Green-Hernandez served The Estate with interrogatories and requests to produce on May 9, 2022. (**Ex 1** – 1st Discovery Requests). Its responses were due on June 8, 2022. Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(b)(2); Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(b)(2)(A). However, it did not serve answers as required by Rules 33(b)(2) and 34(b)(2)(A). Hernandez-Green's counsel followed-up with The Estate's counsel on June 13, 2022, via email, regarding The Estate's failure to answer the discovery requests. (**Ex. 2** – Emails). The Estate's counsel did not respond. Hernandez-Green's counsel followed-up again via email two days later, but again, did not receive any response. (**Ex. 2** – Emails).

Counsel for Green-Hernadez called The Estate's counsel's office on June 16, 2022 to seek concurrence in this motion, but was transferred to voicemail. The Estate's counsel did not respond to the voicemail.

LAW & ARGUMENT

A party may serve on another party interrogatories and requests to produce. Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(a)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(a)(1). The responding party must answer those discovery requests within 30 days. Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(b)(2); Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(b)(2)(A). A party waives their objections to interrogatories or requests to produce if they are not timely made. Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(b)(4); *Mayes v. City of Oak Park*, No. CIVA 05-CV-74386-DT, 2007 WL 328929, at *2 (E.D. Mich. Jan. 30, 2007). "On notice to other parties and all affected persons, a party may move for an order compelling... discovery." Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(1). On such a motion, the Court may enter an order compelling responses to interrogatories and/or requests to produce. Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(3)(iii)-(iv).

Here, The Estate has not timely responded to Green-Hernandez's interrogatories and requests to produce. Thus, it should be compelled to do so and any objections it may have should be deemed waived.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Green-Hernandez asks that this Court enter an order compelling the Estate to answer her discovery requests within seven (7) days, and that this Court deem any objection The Estate may have had be waived.

Respectfully submitted,

ROSATI SCHULTZ JOPPICH & AMTSBUECHLER PC

s/ Michael T. Berger
Attorney for Defendant Hernandez
27555 Executive Drive, Ste. 250
Farmington Hills, MI 48331
(248) 489-4100
mberger@rsjalaw.com
(P77143)

DATED: June 27, 2022

LOCAL RULE CERTIFICATION: I, Michael T. Berger, certify that this document complies with Local Rule 5.1(a), including: double-spaced (except for quoted materials and footnotes); at least one-inch margins on the top, sides, and bottom; consecutive page numbering; and type size of all text and footnotes that is no smaller than 10-1/2 characters per inch (for non-proportional fonts) or 14 point (for proportional fonts). I also certify that it is the appropriate length. Local Rule 7.1(d)(3)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 27, 2022, I electronically filed the foregoing paper with the Clerk of the Court using the ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the following: All counsel of record.

ROSATI SCHULTZ JOPPICH & AMTSBUECHLER PC

s/ Michael T. Berger
Attorney for Defendant Hernandez